# Comparing means with ANOVA

Material: DeGroot and Schervish 9.7, 11.6 OpenStatistics Chapter 7.5

Slides adopted from Openintro.org

#### **Research question:**

You want to test if drinking different beverages affects your reaction time.



You give split your subjects in 3 groups.

You give each group water, tea, and coffee, respectively You measure their reaction time.

#### Scenario 1:



#### Scenario 1:



You have little variablity within each group, but different groups look different.

#### Scenario 2:



#### Scenario 2:



You have lots of variablity within each group, but different groups look the same.

#### **Research question**

Is there a difference between the mean response time among the three beverages?

#### **Research question**

Is there a difference between the mean response time among the three beverages?

• To compare means of 2 groups we use a Z or a T statistic

#### **Research question**

Is there a difference between the mean response time among the three beverages?

- To compare means of 2 groups we use a *Z* or a *T* statistic
- To compare means of 3+ groups we use a new test called ANOVA and a new statistic called F



Figure out how much of the total variance comes from:

a) The variance between the groups

b) The variance within the groups

Calculate the ratio:

 $F = \frac{\text{variance between groups}}{\text{variance within groups}}$ 

#### **The F distributions**

Definition: Let *Y* and *W* be independent random variables such that

- Y has the  $\chi^2$  distribution with m degrees of freedom and
- W has the  $\chi^2$  distribution with n degrees of freedom, where m and n are positive integers.

Then the random variable  $X = \frac{Y/m}{W/n}$  follows an *F*-distribution with *m* and *n* degrees of freedom.

#### The F distributions

pdf:

$$f(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}(m+n)\right]m^{\frac{m}{2}}n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}m\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}n\right)} \times \frac{x^{\frac{m}{2}-1}}{(mx+n)^{\frac{m+n}{2}}}, \qquad x > 0$$

Python scipy.stats.f Quantile using f.ppf

#### **The F distributions**



#### **Comparing variances of two normals**

Let  $X \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$  and  $Y \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ 

Then 
$$\frac{S_x^2}{\sigma_x^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$$
, where  $S_x^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ 

#### **Comparing variances of two normals**

Let  $X \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$  and  $Y \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ 

Then 
$$\frac{S_x^2}{\sigma_x^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$$
, where  $S_x^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ 

Let V = 
$$\frac{S_x^2/[(m-1)\sigma_1^2]}{S_y^2/[(n-1)\sigma_2^2]}$$

Then  $V \sim F$  distribution with m - 1, n - 1 degrees of freedom.

If 
$$\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$$
, then  $V = \frac{S_x^2/(m-1)}{S_y^2/(n-1)}$  also follows the same distribution



Figure out how much of the total variance comes from:

a) The variance between the groups

b) The variance within the groups

Calculate the ratio:

 $F = \frac{\text{variance between groups}}{\text{variance within groups}}$ 

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶



ANOVA is used to assess whether the mean of the outcome variable is different for different levels of a categorical variable

#### z/t test vs. ANOVA - Purpose

#### z/t test

Compare means from two groups to see whether they are so far apart that the observed difference cannot reasonably be attributed to sampling variability

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$$

#### ANOVA

Compare the means from two or more groups to see whether they are so far apart that the observed differences cannot all reasonably be attributed to sampling variability

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \ldots = \mu_k$$

#### **ANOVA**

ANOVA is used to assess whether the mean of the outcome variable is different for different levels of a categorical variable

 $H_0$ : The mean outcome is the same across all categories,

 $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \ldots = \mu_k,$ 

where  $\mu_i$  represents the mean of the outcome for observations in category *i* 

#### **ANOVA**

ANOVA is used to assess whether the mean of the outcome variable is different for different levels of a categorical variable

 $H_0$ : The mean outcome is the same across all categories,

$$\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \ldots = \mu_k,$$

where  $\mu_i$  represents the mean of the outcome for observations in category *i* 

 $H_A$ : At least one mean is different than others

#### **Hypotheses**

A. 
$$H_0$$
:  $\mu_W = \mu_T = \mu_C$   
 $H_A$ :  $\mu_W \neq \mu_T \neq \mu_C$ 

B. 
$$H_0$$
:  $\mu_W \neq \mu_T \neq \mu_C$   
 $H_A$ :  $\mu_W = \mu_T = \mu_C$ 

C. 
$$H_0$$
:  $\mu_W = \mu_T = \mu_C$   
 $H_A$ : At least one mean is different

A. 
$$H_0$$
:  $\mu_W = \mu_T = \mu_C = 0$   
 $H_A$ : At least one mean is different

*E.* 
$$H_0$$
 :  $\mu_W = \mu_T = \mu_C$   
 $H_A$  :  $\mu_B > \mu_M > \mu_C$ 

#### **Hypotheses**

A. 
$$H_0$$
:  $\mu_W = \mu_T = \mu_C$   
 $H_A$ :  $\mu_W \neq \mu_T \neq \mu_C$ 

B. 
$$H_0$$
:  $\mu_W \neq \mu_T \neq \mu_C$   
 $H_A$ :  $\mu_W = \mu_T = \mu_C$ 

C. 
$$H_0$$
 :  $\mu_W = \mu_T = \mu_C$   
 $H_A$  : At least one mean is different

A. 
$$H_0$$
:  $\mu_W = \mu_T = \mu_C = 0$   
 $H_A$ : At least one mean is different

*E.* 
$$H_0$$
 :  $\mu_W = \mu_T = \mu_C$   
 $H_A$  :  $\mu_B > \mu_M > \mu_C$ 

#### Data

#### **Reaction times**

	Time(sec)	beverage
1	3.80	water
2	4.80	water
10	8.80	water
11	3.20	tea
12	3.80	tea
20	6.60	tea
21	3.10	coffee
22	3.60	coffee
30	5.20	coffee

#### **Test statistic**

Does there appear to be a lot of variability within groups? How about between groups?

 $F = \frac{variability\ bet.\ groups}{variability\ within\ groups}$ 



## **Measuring variability**

Total:

$$SST = \sum_{i} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

Between Groups:

$$SSG = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (\overline{x_i} - \overline{x})^2$$

.

Residual:

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i)^2$$

◆□ → < □ → < = → < = → < = → < ○ へ ○</p>

## **Measuring variability**

Total:

$$SST = \sum_{i} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

Between Groups:

$$SSG = \sum_{i=1}^{p} n_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2$$

Residual:

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{ij} - \overline{x_i})^2$$

SST = SSG + SSE

#### F distribution and p-value



- Large values of the F statistic lead to small p-values, which leads to rejecting In order to be able to reject H<sub>0</sub>, we need a small p-value, which requires a large F statistic
- In order to obtain a large *F* statistic, variability between sample means needs to be greater than variability within sample means

#### Theorem

Suppose 
$$\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$$
 and  
 $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_k$ 

Then

$$F = \frac{SSG/(k-1)}{SSE/(n-k)}$$

has the *F* distribution with k - 1 and n - kdegrees of freedom (Data i.i.d,  $X^j \sim N(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2)$  for j = 1, ..., k)

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	<b>T</b> otal	29	54.29			

Measures the variability between groups

$$SSG = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2$$

where is each group size,  $\overline{x_i}$  is the average for each group,  $\overline{x}$  is the overall (grand) mean

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

Measures the variability between groups

$$SSG = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2$$

where is each group size,  $\bar{x}_i$  is the average for each group,  $\bar{x}$  is the overall (grand) mean

	n	mean
water	10	6.04
tea	10	5.05
coffee	10	4.2
overall	30	5.1

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	<b>T</b> otal	29	54.29			

Measures the variability between groups

$$SSG = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2$$

where is each group size,  $\bar{x}_i$  is the average for each group,  $\bar{x}$  is the overall (grand) mean  $SSG = (10 \times (6.04 - 5.1)^2)$ 

	n	mean
water	10	6.04
tea	10	5.05
coffee	10	4.2
overall	30	5.1

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	<b>T</b> otal	29	54.29			

Measures the variability between groups

$$SSG = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2$$

where is each group size,  $\bar{x}_i$  is the average for each group,  $\bar{x}$  is the overall (grand) mean

	n	mean
water	10	6.04
tea	10	5.05
coffee	10	4.2
overall	30	5.1

 $SSG = (10 \times (6.04 - 5.1)^2)$ 

$$+(10 \times (5.05 - 5.1)^2)$$

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

Measures the variability between groups

$$SSG = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2$$

where is each group size,  $\bar{x}_i$  is the average for each group,  $\bar{x}$  is the overall (grand) mean

	n	mean
water	10	6.04
tea	10	5.05
coffee	10	4.2
overall	30	5.1

$$SSG = (10 \times (6.04 - 5.1)^2) + (10 \times (5.05 - 5.1)^2) + (10 \times (4.2 - 5.1)^2)$$

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

Measures the variability between groups

$$SSG = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2$$

where is each group size,  $\bar{x}_i$  is the average for each group,  $\bar{x}$  is the overall (grand) mean

	n	mean
water	10	6.04
tea	10	5.05
coffee	10	4.2
overall	30	5.1

$$SSG = (10 \times (6.04 - 5.1)^2) + (10 \times (5.05 - 5.1)^2) + (10 \times (4.2 - 5.1)^2)$$

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

Measures the variability between groups

$$SSG = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2$$

where is each group size,  $\bar{x}_i$  is the average for each group,  $\bar{x}$  is the overall (grand) mean

	n	mean
water	10	6.04
tea	10	5.05
coffee	10	4.2
overall	30	5.1

 $SSG = (10 \times (6.04 - 5.1)^2) + (10 \times (5.05 - 5.1)^2) + (10 \times (4.2 - 5.1)^2) = 16.96$ 

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

Measures the variability between groups

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

where  $x_i$  represent each observation in the dataset

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

Measures the variability between groups

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

where  $x_i$  represent each observation in the dataset

 $SST = (3.8 - 5.1)^2 + (4.8 - 5.1)^2 + (4.9 - 5.1)^2 + \dots + (5.2 - 5.1)^2$ 

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

Measures the variability between groups

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

where  $x_i$  represent each observation in the dataset

$$SST = (3.8 - 5.1)^2 + (4.8 - 5.1)^2 + (4.9 - 5.1)^2 + \dots + (5.2 - 5.1)^2$$
  
= (-1.3)<sup>2</sup> + (-0.3)<sup>2</sup> + (-0.2)<sup>2</sup> + \dots + (0.1)<sup>2</sup>

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

Measures the variability between groups

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

where  $x_i$  represent each observation in the dataset

$$SST = (3.8 - 5.1)^2 + (4.8 - 5.1)^2 + (4.9 - 5.1)^2 + \dots + (5.2 - 5.1)^2$$
  
= (-1.3)<sup>2</sup> + (-0.3)<sup>2</sup> + (-0.2)<sup>2</sup> + \dots + (0.1)<sup>2</sup>  
= 1.69 + 0.09 + 0.04 + \dots + 0.01  
= 54.29

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

Sum of squares error, SSE

Measures the variability within groups:

SSE = SST - SSG



		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

Sum of squares error, SSE

Measures the variability within groups:

SSE = SST - SSG

SSE = 54.29 - 16.96 = 37.33

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

#### Mean squared error

Mean squared error is calculated as sum of squares divided by the degrees of freedom

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

#### Mean squared error

Mean squared error is calculated as sum of squares divided by the degrees of freedom

MSG = 16.96/2 = 8.48

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

Mean square error

Mean square error is calculated as sum of squares divided by the degrees of freedom

*MSG* = 16.96/2 = 8.48 *MSE* = 37.33/27 = 1.38

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

Test statistic, *F* value

As we discussed before, the *F* statistic is the ratio of the between group and within group variability

$$F = \frac{MSG}{MSE}$$

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

Test statistic, *F* value

As we discussed before, the *F* statistic is the ratio of the between group and within group variability

$$F = \frac{MSG}{MSE}$$

$$F = \frac{8.48}{1.38} = 6.14$$

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

#### p-value

p-value is the probability of at least as large a ratio between the "between group" and "within group" variability, if in fact the means of all groups are equal. It's calculated as the area under the F curve, with degrees of freedom  $df_G$  and  $df_E$ , above the observed F statistic.

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	beverage	2	16.96	8.48	6.13	0.0063
(Error)	Residuals	27	37.33	1.38		
	Total	29	54.29			

#### p-value

p-value is the probability of at least as large a ratio between the "between group" and "within group" variability, if in fact the means of all groups are equal. It's calculated as the area under the F curve, with degrees of freedom  $df_G$  and  $df_E$ , above the observed F statistic.



## **Conclusion - in context**

What is the conclusion of the hypothesis test?

The data provide convincing evidence that the average reaction time

- A. is different for all beverages
- B. with coffee is lower than the other beverages
- C. is different for at least one beverage
- D. is the same for all beverages

## **Conclusion - in context**

What is the conclusion of the hypothesis test?

The data provide convincing evidence that the average reaction time

- A. is different for all beverages
- B. with coffee is lower than the other beverages
- C. is different for at least one beverage
- D. is the same for all beverages

## Conclusion

If p-value is small (less than α), reject H<sub>0</sub>. The data provide convincing evidence that at least one mean is different from (but we can't tell which one)

## Conclusion

- If p-value is small (less than α), reject H<sub>0</sub>. The data provide convincing evidence that at least one mean is different from (but we can't tell which one)
- If p-value is large, fail to reject H<sub>0</sub>. The data do not provide convincing evidence that at least one pair of means are different from each other, the observed differences in sample means are attributable to sampling variability (or chance)

#### Conditions

- 1. The observations should be independent within and between groups
  - If the data are a simple random sample from less than 10% of the population, this condition is satisfied
  - Carefully consider whether the data may be independent (e.g. no pairing)

• Always important, but sometimes difficult to check

#### Conditions

- 1. The observations should be independent within and between groups
  - If the data are a simple random sample from less than 10% of the population, this condition is satisfied
  - Carefully consider whether the data may be independent (e.g. no pairing)

- Always important, but sometimes difficult to check
- 2. The observations within each group should be nearly normal
  - Especially important when the sample sizes are small

How do we check for normality?

#### Conditions

- 1. The observations should be independent within and between groups
  - If the data are a simple random sample from less than 10% of the population, this condition is satisfied
  - Carefully consider whether the data may be independent (e.g. no pairing)
  - Always important, but sometimes difficult to check
- 2. The observations within each group should be nearly normal
  - Especially important when the sample sizes are small

#### How do we check for normality?

- 3. The variability across the groups should be about equal
  - Especially important when the sample sizes differ between groups

How can we check this condition?

## (1)independence

Does this condition appear to be satisfied?

## (1)independence

Does this condition appear to be satisfied?

In this study the we have no reason to believe that the condition is not satisfied (i.e., people are randomly chosen)

## (2) approximately normal

Does this condition appear to be satisfied?



## (3) constant variance

Does this condition appear to be satisfied?



sd =1.58



sd =0.68

• Earlier we concluded that at least one pair of means differ. The natural question that follows is "which ones?"

- Earlier we concluded that at least one pair of means differ. The natural question that follows is "which ones?"
- We can do two sample *t* tests for differences in each possible pair of groups

- Earlier we concluded that at least one pair of means differ. The natural question that follows is "which ones?"
- We can do two sample *t* tests for differences in each possible pair of groups

Can you see any pitfalls with this approach?

- Earlier we concluded that at least one pair of means differ. The natural question that follows is "which ones?"
- We can do two sample *t* tests for differences in each possible pair of groups

Can you see any pitfalls with this approach?

- When we run too many tests, the Family-wise error rate increases
- We can use: Corrections for multiple comparisons (e.g., Bonferroni)

## Why not just use pairwise comparisons?

- Controlling for family-wise error rate is conservative
- It may be the case that we end up getting no significant p-values in pairwise comparisons, but a significant ANOVA p-value

Plan 1	Plan 2	Plan 3
3	3.5	8
4.5	7	4
4	4.5	3
3		

Three different diet plans are to be tested for mean weight loss. The entries in the table below are the weight losses for the different plans.

Exercise

a) Test the hypothesis that all three diet plans have the same mean weight loss by performing ANOVA.b) Can you think of a way for testing if the assumption of equal variances holds for plan 1 and plan 2?

<b>Exercise</b> $\sum_{x \in T} \sum_{x \in T} (x - \overline{x})^2$	Plan 1	Plan 2	Plan 3
$SST = \sum_{i}^{i} (x_i - x)^{-i}$ Between Groups:	3	3.5	8
$SSG = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (\overline{x_i} - \overline{x})^2$	4.5	7	4
Residual:	4	4.5	3
$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{ij} - \overline{x_i})^2$	3		

$$F = \frac{MSG}{MSE} = \frac{\frac{SSG}{k-1}}{\frac{SSE}{n-k}}$$

		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	Diet plans					
(Error)	Residuals					
	Total					

Exercise	Plan 1	Plan 2	Plan 3
$SST = \sum_{i} (x_i - x)^2$ Between Groups:	3	3.5	8
$SSG = \sum_{i=1}^{p} n_i (\bar{x_i} - \bar{x})^2$	4.5	7	4
Residual: $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$	4	4.5	3
$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{P} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (x_{ij} - \bar{x_i})^2$	3		

$$F = \frac{MSG}{MSE} = \frac{\frac{SSG}{k-1}}{\frac{SSE}{n-k}}$$

		Df	Sum sq	Mean sq	F value	Pr(>F)
(Group)	Diet plans	2	4.5375	2.2687	0.7158	0.5214
(Error)	Residuals	7	22.1875	3.1696		
	Total	9	26.725			