# Probabilistic Graphical Models 

Bayesian Inference

## Recap

Continuous Probability Distributions
Replace mass with density, sums with integrals Probability of any single outcome is zero

Convergence of Random Variables

WLLN: The sample mean converges to the true mean

CLT: A scaled version of the sample mean converges in distribution to the standard normal

## Why Graphical Models?

Data elements often have dependence arising from structure


Protein Structure

## Pose Estimation

Exploit structure to simplify representation and computation

## Why "Probabilistic"?

## Stochastic processes have many sources of uncertainty



Randomness in State of Nature


Measurement Process

PGMs let us represent and reason about these in structured ways

## What is Probability?

What does it mean that the probability of heads is $1 / 2$ ?


Two schools of thought...
Frequentist Perspective
Proportion of successes (heads) in repeated trials (coin tosses)

Bayesian Perspective
Belief of outcomes based on assumptions about nature and the physics of coin flips

Neither is better/worse, but we can compare interpretations...

## Frequentist \& Bayesian Modeling

$\theta$ - Unknown (e.g. coin bias)
Erequentist
(Conditional Model)

$$
p(y ; \theta)
$$

- $\theta$ is a non-random unknown parameter
- $p(y ; \theta)$ is the sampling / data generating distribution


## $y$ - Data

## Bayesian

(Generative Model)

$$
\text { Prior Belief } \rightarrow p(\theta) p(y \mid \theta) \longleftarrow \text { Likelihood }
$$

- $\theta$ is a random variable (latent)
- Requires specifying $p(\theta)$ the prior belief


## Bayesian Inference

## Posterior distribution is complete representation of uncertainty

Posterior computed by Bayes' rule:

$$
p(\theta \mid y)=\frac{p(\theta) p(y \mid \theta)}{p(y)} \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { Marginal Likelihood } \\
(\text { more on this later })
\end{gathered}
$$

- Must specify a prior belief $p(\theta)$ about coin bias
- Coin bias $\theta$ is a random quantity
- Interval $p(l(y)<\theta<u(y) \mid y)=0.95$ can be reported in lieu of full posterior, and takes intuitive interpretation for a single trial

Interval Interpretation: For this trial there is a $95 \%$ chance that
$\theta$ lies in the interval

## Bayesian Inference Example

About 29\% of American adults have high blood pressure (BP). Home test has $30 \%$ false positive rate and no false negative error.


A recent home test states that you have high BP. Should you start medication?

An Assessment of the Accuracy of Home Blood Pressure Monitors When Used in Device Owners
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## Bayesian Inference Example

About 29\% of American adults have high blood pressure (BP). Home test has $30 \%$ false positive rate and no false negative error.


- Latent quantity of interest is hypertension: $\theta \in\{$ true, false $\}$
- Measurement of hypertension: $y \in\{$ true, false $\}$
- Prior: $p(\theta=$ true $)=0.29$
- Likelihood: $p(y=$ true $\mid \theta=$ false $)=0.30$

$$
p(y=\operatorname{true} \mid \theta=\operatorname{true})=1.00
$$

## Bayesian Inference Example

About 29\% of American adults have high blood pressure (BP). Home test has $30 \%$ false positive rate and no false negative error.


Suppose we get a positive measurement, then posterior is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\theta=\text { true } \mid y=\text { true }) & =\frac{p(\theta=\operatorname{true}) p(y=\text { true } \mid \theta=\text { true })}{p(y=\text { true })} \\
& =\frac{0.29 * 1.00}{0.29 * 1.00+0.71 * 0.30} \approx 0.58
\end{aligned}
$$

What conclusions can be drawn from this calculation?

## Bayesian Inference



Bayes Rule

## Example: Bernoulli Distribution

$X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ follow a Bernoulli distribution
We want to estimate $P\left(\theta \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$

$$
P\left(\theta \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\frac{P\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \mid \theta\right) P(\theta)}{P\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}
$$

Assume we observe $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{40}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{40} x_{i}=10$

## Marginal Likelihood

## Posterior calculation requires the marginal likelihood,

$$
p(\theta \mid y)=\frac{p(\theta) p(y \mid \theta)}{p(y)}
$$

$$
p(y)=\int p(\theta) p(y \mid \theta) d \theta
$$

- Also called the partition function or evidence
- Key quantity for model learning and selection
- Depends on the prior!
- NP-hard to compute in general (actually \#P)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Example: Consider the vector } \theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{d}\right) \text { with binary } \theta_{i} \in\{0,1\} \\
& \qquad p(y)=\underbrace{\sum_{\theta_{1}=0}^{1} \sum_{\theta_{2}=0}^{1} \ldots \sum_{\theta_{d}=0}^{1}}_{\mathcal{O}\left(2^{d}\right)} p(\theta) p(y \mid \theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Beta distribution

$X$ has the Beta distribution with parameters $\alpha, \beta>0$ if
$f(x \mid \alpha, \beta)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1} & x \in[0,1] \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$
Suitable for RV in $[0,1]$
Parameter space: $\alpha, \beta>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(X)=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}, \operatorname{Var}(X)=\frac{\alpha \beta}{(\alpha+\beta)^{2}(\alpha+\beta+1)} \\
& B(\alpha, \beta)=\int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1} d t=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Computing the Posterior

$$
f(\theta \mid \text { Data })
$$

- Pick a prior, e.g., Beta(2,2) :

$$
f(\theta)=\frac{1}{B(2,2)} \theta(1-\theta)
$$

- Compute the likelihood:


$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{40} \mid \theta\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{40} f\left(x_{i} \mid \theta\right)=\theta^{10}(1-\theta)^{30}
$$

- Compute the posterior up to a constant:

$$
f\left(\theta \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{40}\right)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~B}(2,2) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{40}\right)} f(\theta) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{40} \mid \theta\right)=
$$

- C is a constant, $f\left(\theta \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{40}\right)$ is a $\operatorname{Beta}(12,32)$ distribution.


## Conjugate Distributions

## Gamma distribution

$X$ has the Gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha, \beta>0$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x \mid \alpha, \beta)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x} & x>0 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { Parameter space: } \alpha, \beta>0 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Suitable for RVs in $(0, \infty)$

$$
E(X)=\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \operatorname{Var}(X)=\frac{\alpha}{\beta^{2}} .
$$

## Conjugate Distributions

## Dirichlet distribution

$X=X_{1}, \ldots X_{K}$ have the Dirichlet distribution with parameters $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$
$f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \mid \alpha_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}\frac{1}{B(\alpha)} \prod_{i=1}^{K} x_{i}^{a_{i}-1}, x_{i}=0,1 \\ 0, \quad \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$
where $B(\alpha)=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{K} \Gamma\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{0}\right)}, \alpha_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{K} \alpha_{i}$

Parameter space: $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$


$$
E\left(X_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}}{\alpha_{0}}, \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{o}-\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{o}^{2}\left(\alpha_{o}+1\right)} .
$$



## How do we perform Bayesian Inference

- Pick a prior $P(\theta)$
- Compute the likelihood $P\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \mid \theta\right)$
- Compute the normalization constant

$$
P\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\int P\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \mid \theta\right) f(\theta) d \theta
$$

(Also known as the marginal likelihood)

- Difficult, not always necessary


## Conjugate Distributions

| Likelihood | Prior | Posterior |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Bern}(p)$ | $\operatorname{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ | $\operatorname{Beta}\left(\alpha+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}, \beta+\mathrm{N}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)$ |
| $\operatorname{Binom}(\mathrm{N}, p)$ | $\operatorname{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ | $\operatorname{Beta}\left(\alpha+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}, \beta+\mathrm{N}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pois}(\lambda)$ | $\operatorname{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ | $\operatorname{Gamma}\left(\alpha+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}, \beta+n\right)$ |
| $\operatorname{Expo}(\lambda)$ | $\operatorname{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ | $\operatorname{Gamma}\left(\alpha+n, \beta+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)$ |

Example: Gamma - Exponential

## Improper priors

Sometimes it is convenient to pick a prior that does not have a proper distribution. This is called an improper prior.

Example: "Uniform" Prior for Normal Distribution

## Loss Function

$L(\theta, \hat{\theta})$ : Quantifies how far your estimate $\hat{\theta}$ is from the true value $\theta$.
Examples of loss functions:
Mean Squared Error: $(\hat{\theta}-\theta)^{2}$
Mean Absolute Error: $|\hat{\theta}-\theta|$
Zero-one loss: 0 , if $\hat{\theta}=\theta$, 1 otherwise.
The loss is a random variable
We are looking for the estimate $\hat{\theta}$ that minimizes $E(L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \mid$ Data $)$

## Bayesian Estimation

Task: produce an estimate $\hat{\theta}$ of $\theta$ after observing data $y$
Bayes estimators minimize expected loss function:

$$
\mathbb{E}[L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \mid y]=\int p(\theta \mid y) L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) d \theta
$$

Example: Minimum mean squared error (MMSE):

$$
\hat{\theta}^{\mathrm{MMSE}}=\arg \min \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\theta}-\theta)^{2} \mid y\right]=E[\theta \mid y]
$$

Posterior mean always minimizes squared error.

## Bayesian Estimation: More Examples

## Minimum absolute error:

$$
\arg \min \mathbb{E}[|\hat{\theta}-\theta| \mid y]=\operatorname{median}(\theta \mid y)
$$

Note: Same answer for linear function $L(\theta, \hat{\theta})=c|\hat{\theta}-\theta|$.

## Maximum a posteriori (MAP):

Very common to produce maximum probability estimates,

$$
\hat{\theta}^{\mathrm{MAP}}=\arg \max p(\theta \mid y)
$$

## Bayesian Updating

Consider two conditionally independent observations $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, their joint distribution is:

Probability chain rule

$$
p\left(\theta, X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=p(\theta) p\left(X_{1} \mid \theta\right) p\left(X_{2} \mid \theta\right)=p\left(\theta \mid X_{1}\right) p\left(X_{1}\right) p\left(X_{2} \mid \theta\right)
$$

So, conditioned on $X_{1}$ :

$$
p\left(\theta, X_{2} \mid X_{1}\right)=p\left(\theta \mid X_{1}\right) p\left(X_{2} \mid \theta\right)
$$

This is proportional to the full posterior by Bayes' rule:

$$
p\left(\theta \mid X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \propto p\left(\theta \mid X_{1}\right) p\left(X_{2} \mid \theta\right) \begin{aligned}
& \text { Normalizer is marginal } \\
& \text { likelihood } \mathfrak{p}\left(X_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, given conditionally independent $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}$ :

$$
p\left(\theta \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}\right) \propto p\left(\theta \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N-1}\right) p\left(X_{N} \mid \theta\right)
$$

## Bayesian Inference for the Gaussian (2)

Combined with a Gaussian prior over $\mu$

$$
p(\mu)=\mathcal{N}\left(\mu \mid \mu_{0}, \sigma_{0}^{2}\right)
$$

this gives the posterior

$$
p(\mu \mid \mathbf{x}) \propto p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mu) p(\mu)
$$

Completing the square over $\mu$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(\mu \mid \mathbf{x})=\mathcal{N}\left(\mu \mid \mu_{N}, \sigma_{N}^{2}\right) \\
& \mu_{N}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{N \sigma_{0}^{2}+\sigma^{2}} \mu_{0}+\frac{N \sigma_{0}^{2}}{N \sigma_{0}^{2}+\sigma^{2}} \mu_{\mathrm{ML}}, \quad \mu_{\mathrm{ML}}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n} \\
& \frac{1}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}+\frac{N}{\sigma^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bayesian Inference for the Gaussian (3)

Example: $\quad p(\mu \mid \mathbf{x})=\mathcal{N}\left(\mu \mid \mu_{N}, \sigma_{N}^{2}\right) \quad$ for $\mathrm{N}=0,1,2$ and 10.


## Bayesian Inference for the Gaussian (4)

Sequential Estimation

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\mu \mid \mathbf{x}) & \propto p(\mu) p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mu) \\
& =\left[p(\mu) \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} p\left(x_{n} \mid \mu\right)\right] p\left(x_{N} \mid \mu\right) \\
& \propto \mathcal{N}\left(\mu \mid \mu_{N-1}, \sigma_{N-1}^{2}\right) p\left(x_{N} \mid \mu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The posterior obtained after observing $\mathrm{N}-1$ data points becomes the prior when we observe the $\mathrm{N}^{\text {th }}$ data point.

## Bayesian Inference for the Gaussian (5)

Now assume $\mu$ is known. The likelihood function for $\lambda=1 / \sigma^{2}$ is given by

$$
p(\mathbf{x} \mid \lambda)=\prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}\left(x_{n} \mid \mu, \lambda^{-1}\right) \propto \lambda^{N / 2} \exp \left\{-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

This has a Gamma shape as a function of $\lambda$.

## Bayesian Inference for the Gaussian (6)

Now we combine a Gamma prior, $\operatorname{Gam}\left(\lambda \mid a_{0}, b_{0}\right)$, with the likelihood function for, to obtain

$$
p(\lambda \mid \mathbf{x}) \propto \lambda^{a_{0}-1} \lambda^{N / 2} \exp \left\{-b_{0} \lambda-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

which we recognize as $\operatorname{Gam}\left(\lambda \mid \alpha_{N}, b_{N}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{N} & =a_{0}+\frac{N}{2} \\
b_{N} & =b_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bayesian Inference for the Gaussian (7)

If both $\mu$ and $\lambda$ are unknown, the joint likelihood function is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mu, \lambda)=\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2 \pi}\right)^{1 / 2} \exp \left\{-\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(x_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& \quad \propto\left[\lambda^{1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda \mu^{2}}{2}\right)\right]^{N} \exp \left\{\lambda \mu \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n}^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bayesian Inference for the Gaussian (8)

The Gaussian-gamma distribution

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
p(\mu, \lambda)= & \mathcal{N}\left(\mu \mid \mu_{0},(\beta \lambda)^{-1}\right) \\
\propto \exp \{\underbrace{-\frac{\beta \lambda}{2}\left(\mu-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}}\} \lambda^{a-1} \exp \{-b \lambda\} \\
& \text { • Quadratic in } \mu .
\end{array}\right) \text { •Gamma distribution over } \lambda .
$$



- $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ unknown, $\Lambda$ known: $p(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ Gaussian.
- $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ unknown, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ known: $p(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ Wishart, $\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mid \mathbf{W}, v)=B \mid$

$$
\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(v-D-1) / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{W}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\right)\right)
$$

- $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ unknown: $p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ GaussianWishart, $p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ । $\left.\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}, \beta, \mathbf{W}, v\right)=$

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0},(\beta \boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}\right) \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mid \mathbf{W}, v)
$$

## Likelihood and Odds Ratios

Which parameter value $\theta_{1}$ or $\theta_{2}$ is more likely to have generated the observed data $y$ ?

The posterior odds ratio is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{p\left(\theta_{1} \mid y\right)}{p\left(\theta_{2} \mid y\right)}=\frac{p\left(\theta_{1}\right)}{p\left(\theta_{2}\right)} \frac{p\left(y \mid \theta_{1}\right)}{p\left(y \mid \theta_{2}\right)} \frac{p(y)}{p(y)} \\
\substack{\text { Prior Odds } \\
\text { Ratio }}
\end{gathered} \longrightarrow \begin{gathered}
\text { Likelihood } \\
\text { Ratio }
\end{gathered}
$$

Observe: the marginal likelihood $p(y)$ cancels!

## Prediction

Can make predictions of unobserved $\tilde{y}$ before seeing any data,

$$
p(\tilde{y})=\int p(\theta) p(\tilde{y} \mid \theta) d \theta
$$

Similar calculation to marginal likelihood

This is the prior predictive distribution

When we observe $y$ we can predict future observations $\tilde{y}$,

$$
p(\tilde{y} \mid y)=\int p(\theta \mid y) p(\tilde{y} \mid \theta) d \theta
$$

This is the posterior predictive distribution

## Posterior Marginal

In hierarchical models a subset of variables may be of interest
Normal distribution with random parameters:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
y_{i} \mid \mu, \tau & \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \tau) \text { i.i.d. } & & \\
\mu \mid \tau & \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{0}, n_{0} \tau\right) & & \text { Nuisance variable } \\
\tau & \sim \operatorname{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta) & \text { Quantity of interest }
\end{array}
$$

Marginalize out nuisance variables:

$$
p(\tau \mid x)=\int \operatorname{Gamma}(\tau \mid \alpha, \beta) \mathcal{N}\left(\mu \mid \mu_{0}, n_{0} \tau\right) \prod_{i} \mathcal{N}\left(x_{i} \mid \mu, \tau\right) d \mu
$$

Use of conjugate prior
ensures analytic posterior

$$
=\operatorname{Gamma}\left(\tau \left\lvert\, \alpha+\frac{n}{2}\right., \beta+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}+\frac{n n_{0}}{2\left(n+n_{0}\right)}\left(\bar{x}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

## Posterior Summarization

Ideally we would report the full posterior distribution as the result of inference...but this is not always possible

## Summary of Posterior Location:

Point estimates: mean (MMSE), mode, median (min. absolute error)

## Summary of Posterior Uncertainty:

Credible intervals / regions, posterior entropy, variance

## Credible Interval

Def. For parameter $0<\alpha<1$ the $100(1-\alpha) \%$ a credible interval $(L(y), U(y))$ satisfies,

$$
p(L(y)<\theta<U(y) \mid y)=\int_{L(y)}^{U(y)} p(\theta \mid y)=1-\alpha
$$

Interval containing fixed percentage of posterior probability density.

Note: This is not unique -- consider the $95 \%$ intervals below:



## Summary

- Bayesian estimation minimizes expected loss function:

$$
\mathbb{E}[L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \mid y]=\int p(\theta \mid y) L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) d \theta
$$

- Common estimators: Posterior mean $\rightarrow$ MMSE, Median $\rightarrow$ MAE
- Posterior uncertainty can be summarized by (not necessarily unique) credible intervals:

- Interpretation: For this trial parameter lies in interval with specified probability (e.g. 0.95)


## Summary

- Marginal likelihood required for Bayesian inference, which can be hard:

$$
p(\theta \mid y)=\frac{p(\theta) p(y \mid \theta)}{p(y)} \quad p(y)=\int p(\theta) p(y \mid \theta) d \theta
$$

- One exception is posterior odds (used in model selection, hypothesis testing, ...)

$$
\frac{p\left(\theta_{1} \mid y\right)}{p\left(\theta_{2} \mid y\right)}=\frac{p\left(\theta_{1}\right)}{p\left(\theta_{2}\right)} \frac{p\left(y \mid \theta_{1}\right)}{p\left(y \mid \theta_{2}\right)} \frac{p(\nmid)}{p(y)}
$$

- Posterior predictive can be used for model quality in unsupervised setting:

$$
p(\tilde{y} \mid y)=\int p(\theta \mid y) p(\tilde{y} \mid \theta) d \theta
$$

