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1st exercise set - Answers
Exercise 1. Without using induction, show that for every $n, 2 \mid n(n+1)$ and that $6 \mid$ $n(n+1)(n+2)$.

Answer. We have the following cases:

- If $n$ is even, then $2|n \Rightarrow 2| n(n+1)$.
- If $n$ is odd, then $2|n+1 \Rightarrow 2| n(n+1)$.

So, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 \mid n(n+1)$.
The above also implies that $2 \mid n(n+1)(n+2)$, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, take the following cases:

- If $n$ is of the form $n=3 k$, then $3|n \Rightarrow 3| n(n+1)(n+2)$.
- If $n$ is of the form $n=3 k+1$, then $3|n+2 \Rightarrow 3| n(n+1)(n+2)$.
- If $n$ is of the form $n=3 k+2$, then $3|n+1 \Rightarrow 3| n(n+1)(n+2)$.

So, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}, 3 \mid n(n+1)(n+2)$. The latter combined with the fact that $2 \mid$ $n(n+1)(n+2)$ yields that, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}, 6 \mid n(n+1)(n+2)$.

Exercise 2. Show that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, 7 \mid 3^{2 n+1}+2^{n+2}$.
Answer. We will use induction on $n$.

- The result is clear when $n=0$.
- Assume that $7 \mid 3^{2 k+1}+2^{k+2}$, for some $k \geq 0$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
3^{2 k+1}=7 \ell-2^{2 k+2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
3^{2(k+1)+1}+2^{(k+1)+2} & =9 \cdot 3^{2 k+1}+2 \cdot 2^{2 k+2} \\
& \stackrel{(1)}{=} 9\left(7 \ell-2^{2 k+2}\right)+2 \cdot 2^{2 k+2} \\
& =7\left(9 \ell-2^{2 k+2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Exercise 3. Show that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, 15 \mid 2^{4 n}-1$.
Answer. We have that

$$
2^{4 n}-1=\left(2^{4}\right)^{n}-1=\left(2^{4}-1\right)\left(\left(2^{4}\right)^{n-1}+\left(2^{4}\right)^{n-2}+\cdots+1\right)=15\left(2^{4 n-4}+\cdots+1\right)
$$

The result follows.

Exercise 4. Show that for every $\lambda, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$,

1. $\left[\lambda a_{1}, \ldots, \lambda a_{n}\right]=|\lambda|\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ and
2. if $\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]=m$, then $\left(\frac{m}{a_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{m}{a_{n}}\right)=1$.

Answer. 1. W.l.o.g. assume that $\lambda>0$. Now, set $e:=\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ and $m:=\left[\lambda a_{1}, \ldots, \lambda a_{n}\right]$. We have that $\forall i, a_{i}\left|e \Rightarrow \forall i, \lambda a_{i}\right| \lambda e \Rightarrow m \mid \lambda e$. Conversely, $\forall i, \lambda a_{i} \mid m \Rightarrow \forall i$, $a_{i}\left|\frac{m}{\lambda} \Rightarrow e\right| \frac{m}{\lambda} \Rightarrow \underline{\lambda e \mid m}$. We conclude that $\overline{\lambda e=m}$.
2. Set $d:=\left(\frac{m}{a_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{m}{a_{n}}\right)$. We have that, $\forall i, \left.d\left|\frac{m}{a_{i}} \Rightarrow \forall i, d a_{i}\right| m \Rightarrow\left[d a_{1}, \ldots, d a_{n}\right] \right\rvert\,$ $m$. However, from the previous item, $\left[d a_{1}, \ldots, d a_{n}\right]=d m$, so the above relation becomes $d m|m \Rightarrow d| 1 \Rightarrow d=1$.

Exercise 5. Find all the integers $a \neq 3$ such that $a-3 \mid a^{3}-3$.
Answer. Let $p$ be a prime divisor of $a-3$. We have that

$$
p\left|a-3 \stackrel{a-3 \mid a^{3}-3}{\Longrightarrow} p\right| a^{3}-3 \stackrel{p \mid a-3}{\Longrightarrow} p\left|a^{3}-a=a(a-1)(a+1) \stackrel{p \in \mathbb{P}}{\Longrightarrow} p\right| a \text { or } p \mid a \pm 1
$$

We take the following cases:

- $p|a \stackrel{p \mid a-3}{\Longrightarrow} p| 3 \stackrel{p \in \mathbb{P}}{\Longrightarrow} p=3$.
- $p|a \pm 1 \stackrel{p \mid a-3}{\Longrightarrow} p| 2$ or $4 \stackrel{p \in \mathbb{P}}{\Longrightarrow} p=2$.

In other words, the only primes that may divide $a-3$ are 2 and 3 , i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a-3= \pm 2^{\kappa} 3^{\lambda} \Longleftrightarrow a=3 \pm 2^{\kappa} 3^{\lambda} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\kappa, \lambda \geq 0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{3}-3 & =3^{3} \pm 3 \cdot 3^{2} 2^{\kappa} 3^{\lambda}+3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^{2 \kappa} 3^{2 \lambda} \pm 2^{3 \kappa} 3^{3 \lambda}-3 \\
& =24 \pm 2^{\kappa} 3^{\lambda+3}+2^{2 \kappa} 3^{2 \lambda+2} \pm 2^{3 \kappa} 3^{3 \lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $2^{\kappa} 3^{\lambda}$ divides the LHS of the above, as well as the last three terms of the RHS, so it also divides the first term. In other words,

$$
2^{\kappa} 3^{\lambda} \mid 24=2^{3} 3
$$

It follows that $0 \leq \kappa \leq 3$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. In accordance with (2), we conclude that

$$
a=3 \pm 2^{\kappa} 3^{\lambda}
$$

where $0 \leq \kappa \leq 3$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ (16 numbers in total).
Exercise 6. Find all the integers $a$ such that both 624 and 301 leave a remainder of 16 when divided by $a$.

Answer. We have that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
a \mid 624-16=608 \\
a \mid 301-16=285
\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow a \mid(608,285)=19 \Rightarrow a=1 \text { or } 19
$$

Since a division with 1 always leaves remainder 0 , we conclude that $a=19$.
Exercise 7. If $n>1$, show that $n^{4}+4$ is composite.
Answer. We have that

$$
n^{4}+4=n^{4}+4 n^{2}+4-4 n^{2}=\left(n^{2}+2\right)^{2}-(2 n)^{2}=\left(n^{2}-2 n+2\right)\left(n^{2}+2 n+2\right)
$$

Since $n>1$, both of the factors above are non-trivial, thus we have a non-trivial factorization of $n^{4}+4$.

Exercise 8. Without using Dirichlet's theorem, show that there are infinitely many primes of the forms $4 k+3$ and $6 \ell+5$.

Answer. Assume that there is only a finite number of such primes. Let

$$
3, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}
$$

be these primes (i.e. $p_{1}=7$ ). Now, take the number

$$
A:=4 p_{1} \cdots p_{n}+3
$$

Clearly $A$ is of the form $4 k+3$. However, $A \neq 3$ and $A>p_{i}$ for all $i$, that is $A$ is not a prime. Now, since $A$ is even, 2 does not appear in the prime factorization of $A$. Also, $3 \nmid A$, since that would imply $3 \mid 4 p_{1} \cdots p_{n}$, a contradiction. Moreover, it is easy to check that the product of two numbers of the form $4 k+1$ is another number of the form $4 k+1$. It follows that $A$ has at least one prime factor of the form $4 k+3$, i.e., $p_{i} \mid A$ for some $i$.

Now, we have:

$$
p_{i}\left|A=4 p_{1} \cdots p_{n}+3 \stackrel{p_{i} \mid 4 p_{1} \cdots p_{n}}{\Longrightarrow} p_{i}\right| 3 \stackrel{p_{i} \in \mathbb{P}}{\Longrightarrow} p_{i}=3
$$

a contradiction.
The question regarding $6 \ell+5$ is similar.
Exercise 9. Find all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, such that $a b=480$ and $[a, b]=240$.
Answer. We have that $480=2^{5} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ and $240=2^{4} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$. It follows that

$$
a=2^{a_{2}} 3^{a_{3}} 5^{a_{5}} \text { and } b=2^{b_{2}} 3^{b_{3}} 5^{b_{5}}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i} \geq 0$, such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2}+b_{2}=5 & , \quad \max \left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)=4 \\
a_{3}+b_{3}=1 & , \quad \max \left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right)=1 \\
a_{5}+b_{5}=1 & , \quad \max \left(a_{5}, b_{5}\right)=1
\end{array}
$$

It follows that $\left\{a_{2}, b_{2}\right\}=\{1,4\},\left\{a_{3}, b_{3}\right\}=\{0,1\}$ and $\left\{a_{5}, b_{5}\right\}=\{0,1\}$. We conclude that we have 8 choices for the pair $a, b$.

Exercise 10. Let $a=a_{m} \cdots a_{0}$ be the decimal expression $a$, i.e., $a=\sum_{i=0}^{m} 10^{i} a_{i}$. Show that:
(a) $2|a \Longleftrightarrow 2| a_{0}$.
(b) $3|a \Longleftrightarrow 3| \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i}$.
(c) $4|a \Longleftrightarrow 4| 10 a_{1}+a_{0}$.
(d) $5|a \Longleftrightarrow 5| a_{0}$.
(e) $7|a \Longleftrightarrow 7| 2 a_{0}-\frac{a-a_{0}}{10}$.
(f) $9|a \Longleftrightarrow 9| \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i}$.
(g) $11|a \Longleftrightarrow 11| \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} a_{i}$.
(h) $25|a \Longleftrightarrow 25| 10 a_{1}+a_{0}$.

Answer. (a) We have that $a=\sum_{i=0}^{m} 10^{i} a_{i}=a_{0}+2 \cdot 5 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} 10^{i-1}$. It follows that $2|a \Longleftrightarrow 2| a_{0}$. Item (d) is similar.
(b) First, we will inductively prove that $10^{i}=3 k_{i}+1$, for some $k_{i}$. The result is trivial for $i=0$. Assume that $10^{j}=3 k_{j}+1$. Then $10^{j+1}=10 \cdot 10^{j}=10\left(3 k_{j}+1\right)=$ $3\left(10 k_{j}+3\right)+1$. This assures our claim. Now, we have that

$$
a=\sum_{i=0}^{m} 10^{i} a_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\left(3 k_{i}+1\right) a_{i}=3\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} k_{i} a_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} .
$$

The latter implies $3|a \Longleftrightarrow 3| \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i}$. Item (f) is similar.
(c) We have that $a=\sum_{i=0}^{m} 10^{i} a_{i}=a_{0}+10 a_{1}+4 \cdot 25 \cdot \sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i} 10^{i-2}$. It follows that $4|a \Longleftrightarrow 4| a_{0}+10 a_{1}$. Item (h) is similar.
(e) First notice that $a-a_{0}=10\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} 10^{i-1}\right)$, that is, $\frac{a-a_{0}}{10}$ is an integer, i.e., $2 a_{0}-\frac{a-a_{0}}{10}$ is an integer. Furthermore, note that

$$
2 a_{0}-\frac{a-a_{0}}{10}=\frac{21 a_{0}-a}{10}
$$

It follows that

$$
7\left|2 a_{0}-\frac{a-a_{0}}{10} \Longleftrightarrow 7\right| \frac{21 a_{0}-a}{10} \stackrel{(7,10)=1}{\Longleftrightarrow} 7\left|21 a_{0}-a \stackrel{7 \mid 21}{\Longleftrightarrow} 7\right| a
$$

(g) First, we will inductively prove that $10^{i}=11 \ell_{i}+(-1)^{i}$, for some $\ell_{i}$. The result is trivial for $i=0$. Assume that $10^{j}=11 \ell_{j}+(-1)^{j}$. Then $10^{j+1}=10 \cdot 10^{j}=$ $(11-1)\left(11 \ell_{j}+(-1)^{j}\right)=11\left(11 \ell_{j}+(-1)^{j}-\ell_{j}\right)+(-1)^{j+1}$. This assures our claim. Now, we have that

$$
a=\sum_{i=0}^{m} 10^{i} a_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\left(11 \ell_{i}+(-1)^{i}\right) a_{i}=11\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \ell_{i} a_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} a_{i}
$$

The latter implies $11|a \Longleftrightarrow 11| \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} a_{i}$.
Exercise 11. Find all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, such that $A=3 x^{2}-5 x \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Answer. Clearly, if $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $A \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, assume that $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then w.l.o.g., we may assume that $x=\frac{a}{b}$, where $(a, b)=1$ and $b>1$. Now, we get that $A=\frac{a(3 a-5 b)}{b^{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that

$$
b^{2}|a(3 a-5 b) \Rightarrow b| a(3 a-5 b) \stackrel{(a, b)=1}{\Rightarrow} b|3 a-5 b \stackrel{b \mid 5 b}{\Rightarrow} b| 3 a \stackrel{(a, b)=1}{\Rightarrow} b \mid 3 \stackrel{b>1}{\Rightarrow} b=3
$$

It remains to check for which values of $a$, with $(a, 3)=1, x=\frac{a}{3}$, yields $A \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, we have $A=3 x^{2}-5 x=\frac{a(a-5)}{3}$, that is (since $(a, 3)=1$, $a$ must satisfy $3 \mid a-5$, that is $a=3 k+2$ for some $k$.

All in all, $A \in \mathbb{Z} \Longleftrightarrow x \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $x=k+\frac{2}{3}$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Exercise 12. Show that if $2^{n}-1$ is a prime, then $n$ is a prime.
Answer. Suppose that $n$ is not a prime, that is, $n=s t$, for some $s, t>1$. Then $2^{n}-1=$ $2^{\text {st }}-1=\left(2^{s}-1\right)\left(\left(2^{s}\right)^{t-1}+\cdots+1\right)$, where both factors are $>1$, a contradiction.

Exercise 13. The Fibonacci sequence $1,1,2,3, \ldots$ is defined recursively as $a_{n+1}=a_{n}+a_{n-1}$, for $n \geq 2$, and $a_{1}=a_{2}=1$. Show that $\left(a_{n}, a_{n+1}\right)=1$ for every $n \geq 1$

Answer. First, we prove that for any $a, b$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a+b, a)=(a, b) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $d_{1}=(a+b, a)$ and $d_{2}=(a, b)$. Now, note that $d_{1}\left|a+b \stackrel{d_{1} \mid a}{\Rightarrow} d_{1}\right| b \stackrel{d_{1} \mid a}{\Rightarrow} \underline{d_{1} \mid d_{2}}$. Additionally, we have that $d_{2}\left|b \stackrel{d_{2} \mid a}{\Rightarrow} d_{2}\right| a+b \stackrel{d_{2} \mid a}{\Rightarrow} \underline{d_{2} \mid d_{1}}$. It follows that $d_{1}=\overline{d_{2} \text { this }}$ concludes the proof of our claim.

Now, we will prove that $\left(a_{n}, a_{n+1}\right)=1$, using induction on $n$.

- The result is trivial for $n=1$ and $n=2$.
- Assume that $\left(a_{k}, a_{k+1}\right)=1$ for some $k \geq 2$ (I.H.).
- We have that $\left(a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}\right)=\left(a_{k+1}, a_{k}+a_{k+1}\right) \stackrel{(3)}{=}\left(a_{k+1}, a_{k}\right) \stackrel{\text { I.H. }}{=} 1$.

Exercise 14. Suppose that $a, b>1$ and $(a, b)=1$. Then:

1. There exists some $x, y>0$ such that $a x-b y=1$.
2. If $x^{a}=y^{b}$, then $x=n^{b}$ and $y=n^{a}$ for some $n$.
3. For every $n>a b$, there exist some $x, y>0$ such that $n=a x+b y$.
4. There are no $x, y>0$ such that $a b=a x+b y$.

Answer. 1. Since $(a, b)=1$, there exist some $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$, such that $a x^{\prime}+b y^{\prime}=1$. Next, notice that $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \neq 0$, since that would imply $a \mid 1$ or $b \mid 1$. Moreover, notice that, since $a, b>1$, exactly one of $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ is positive and the other is negative. If $x^{\prime}>0$ and $y^{\prime}<0$ the result is immediate, so we only need to focus on the case $x^{\prime}<0$ and $y^{\prime}>0$.
So, assume that $x^{\prime}<0$ and $y^{\prime}>0$ and for any $n>0$, set $x_{n}=x^{\prime}+b n$ and $y_{n}=y^{\prime}-a n$. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that

$$
a x_{n}+b y_{n}=a\left(x^{\prime}+b n\right)+b\left(y^{\prime}-a n\right)=a x^{\prime}+b y^{\prime}=1
$$

Also, note that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=-\infty$, that is, there exists some $m$, such that $x:=x_{m}>0$ and $y:=y_{m}<0$. The desired result follows.
2. From item 1 , there exist some $k, \ell>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a k-b \ell=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have that

$$
x^{a}=y^{b} \Rightarrow x^{a \ell}=y^{b \ell} \stackrel{(4)}{\Rightarrow} x^{a \ell}=y^{a k-1} \Rightarrow y^{1 / a}=\frac{y^{k}}{x^{\ell}} \in \mathbb{Q} .
$$

However, we know ${ }^{1}$ that a rational power of an integer is either an integer or an irrational number. This means that $y^{1 / a}=n$, for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $y=n^{a}$. Also, we have that

$$
x^{a}=y^{b} \Rightarrow x^{a}=n^{a b} \Rightarrow \underline{x=n^{b}} .
$$

3. Fix some $n>a b$. Set

$$
S:=\{n-i b: 1 \leq i \leq a\}
$$

We claim that any two distinct elements of $S$, leave a different remainder, when divided by $a$. In order to prove that, assume that there exist some $1 \leq i<j \leq a$, such that $n-i b=k_{i} a+r$ and $n-j b=k_{j} a+r$. It follows that $a|b(j-i) \stackrel{(a, b)=1}{\Rightarrow} a| j-i$, impossible, since $0<j-i<a$. Our claim is now proven.

The above combined with the fact that $|S|=a$, yields that the set $S$ includes elements that leave every possible remainder when divided by $a$, including one that leaves remainder zero, i.e., is divided by $a$. In other words, there exists some $k$, such that $k=n-y b$, for some $1 \leq y \leq a$ and $k=a x$, for some $x \leq 1$. The result follows.
4. Let $x, y>0$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b=a x+b y \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have that $a \mid a b$ and $a \mid a x$, so (5) implies that $a|b y \stackrel{(a, b)=1}{\Longrightarrow} a| y \Rightarrow y=a y^{\prime}$. In a similar way, one obtains $x=b x^{\prime}$. Now, (5) becomes

$$
a b=a b\left(x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}\right)
$$

which is impossible.
Exercise 15. If $a>1$, then $\left(a^{m}-1, a^{n}-1\right)=a^{(m, n)}-1$.
Answer. If $m=n$ the result is clear, so we focus on the case $m \neq n$ and w.l.o.g. we may further assume that $m>n$.

Now, if $m=q n+r$ is the Euclidean division of $m$ and $n$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{m}-1 & =a^{q n+r}-1 \\
& =a^{r}\left(a^{q n}-1\right)+\left(a^{r}-1\right) \\
& =\underbrace{a^{r}\left(a^{q-1}+a^{q-2}+\cdots+1\right)}_{A}\left(a^{n}-1\right)+\left(a^{r}-1\right) \\
& =A\left(a^{n}-1\right)+\left(a^{r}-1\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]where in the last equation, we note that clearly, $0 \leq a^{r}-1<a^{n}-1$. In other words $a^{r}-1$ is the remainder of the Euclidean division between $a^{m}-1$ and $a^{n}-1$. This implies that the Euclidean division between $a^{m}-1$ and $a^{n}-1$ is dictated by the corresponding Euclidean division between $m$ and $n$. It follows that the Euclidean algorithm will follow the same steps in both cases and that the last non-trivial remainder (i.e. the gcd) of the Euclidean algorithm for $a^{m}-1$ and $a^{n}-1$ will be $a^{(m, n)}-1$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ If you don't know that, prove it!

